

TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMISSION PROJECT RANKING - RESULTS

Jesse Hamashima, Transportation Planning Manager
Mason Struna, Asset Management Specialist 3

Office of the County Engineer
Pierce County Planning & Public Works

The Project Ranking Exercise

- Findings
- Aggregate Results
- Per Project
- TAC Discusses Results

THE TAC ROLE

1. Take 7 projects and rank from 1 to 7


2. With 1 representing the TAC member's first choice, 2 is 2nd choice etcetera


TAC Scores Submitted Per Project	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
-------------------------------------	---	---	---	---	---	---	---


3. The following tables and graphics show the results...


TAC PROJECT RANKINGS AS RECEIVED BY TAC MEMBER


Name	Devereux	Gamble	Gordon	Jones	Lubbesmeyer	McCarty	Moore	Schlumpf	Sehmel	Pierson	Sum
86 AV E	5	2	5	3	5	2	5	3	4	5	39
94 AV E	4	3	2	7	4	3	7	2	3	3	38
94 AV EXT	1	5	4	6	3	5	6	5	5	2	42
112th St E	7	6	7	4	1	6	2	7	7	7	54
122 AV E / SHAW RD E	2	1	1	2	6	1	3	1	1	4	22
160 ST E	6	4	6	5	7	4	4	6	6	6	54
MILITARY RD E	3	7	3	1	2	7	1	4	2	1	31






















What If The TAC Members Ranked Projects from Most “Highly” to Least Ranked (7 to 1)

Reversing Rank Order of Project Scoring	7	6	5	4	3	2	1
--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

TAC PROJECT RANKINGS REVERSE ORDER RANK

Name	Devereux	Gamble	Gordon	Jones	Lubbesmeyer	McCarty	Moore	Schlumpf	Sehmel	Pierson	Sum
86 AV E	3	6	3	5	3	6	3	5	4	3	41
94 AV E	4	5	6	1	4	5	1	6	5	6	43
94 AV EXT	7	3	4	2	5	3	2	3	3	5	37
112th St E	1	2	1	4	7	2	6	1	1	1	26
122 AV E / SHAW RD E	6	7	7	6	2	7	5	7	7	4	58
160 ST E	2	4	2	3	1	4	4	2	2	2	26
MILITARY RD E	5	1	5	7	6	1	7	4	6	7	49





QUESTIONS?