

Key Peninsula Advisory Commission (KPAC)
Regular Meeting Minutes | August 18, 2021, 6:30 PM
Remote meeting held via Zoom.us
Meeting ID: 913 4115 6298 | Passcode: 7156

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Mark Cockerill, Chair
Domoni Glass, Vice Chair
Marcia Harris
Sami Jensen
Kip Clinton
Kristen Augusztiny
Angela Mattison-Lindbom
Eddie Clark
Julia Runyan

MEMBERS ABSENT:

Chair Cockerill called the meeting to order at 6:30 p.m. A quorum was present.

Staff presented the case.

NEW BUSINESS
APPLICATION TYPE(S): BLOECHER/NIMZ LAND USE VARIANCE
Applications 964103

Applicant: Carly Bloecher
Planner: Jenny Kreifels, jennifer.kreifels@piercecounitywa.gov
Request: Request for a variance to reduce the setback at the south property line from 10 feet to 8 feet and at the west property line from 30 feet to 8 feet, in order to construct a detached 2-car garage with carport and storage on the second floor. Located at 18922 Bayview Road, on the Key Peninsula, in the Rural 10 zone classification, the Key Peninsula Community Plan area, and Council District 7.

APPLICANT/AGENT COMMENT

Carly Bloecher, applicant, addressed the commission and answered questions.

COMMISSION QUESTIONS/DISCUSSION

- Impervious surface allowance and is gravel driveway considered impervious?
- Is commercial proposed for the office use? The applicant answered no.
- Setbacks were discussed. Standard versus administrative and/or Hearing Examiner approval.
- Will the shed remain?
- The applicant presented the front elevation plan for the proposal.
- Question to clarify who the owners/applicants are.
- Administrative variance setback maximums.
- Question if a future Accessory Dwelling Unit (ADU) will be proposed. The applicant answered no.
- Question if a carport is necessary.
- Discussed supporting a smaller footprint or modifying the length to support the proposed use.
- Discussed alternatives to the proposal.
- Discussion to make a recommendation on the proposal at hand.
- Question to the planner. "Would the County approve the same size structure if a 20% reduced setback (Administrative Variance) were proposed?"

PUBLIC COMMENT

The following members of the public were present and provided comment:

- Jeff Nimz
- Mary Case

Public comment closed.

Motion made (Harris/Clinton) to recommend approval of the staff recommendation as stated in the proposal and continue working with the Planning Department staff to see what they can do within the administrative authority. ***Motion passed unanimously.***

OTHER BUSINESS

- Commission discussed that the Key Peninsula Community Council (KPCC) is giving out wrong information on social media regarding authority/responsibilities concerning the Key Peninsula Advisory Commission (KPAC).
- An email sent implying that KPCC and KPAC are involved or connected with one another.
- KPCC is an overseer of KPAC which is not the case.
- Members are concerned that the public may be misinformed as well as members of KPCC and the confusion/blurred lines should be eliminated.
- KPAC is listed as a “standing committee” on the KPCC literature.
- Discussed if Pierce County Planning staff could attend a KPCC meeting to clarify that the two groups are separate with responsibilities and authority specific to each and that KPAC is a stand-alone commission. Group would like KPAC Admin to send an email to the KPCC if this is a possibility.
- Discussion that KPAC Chair should contact KPCC to possibly explain the concern and make clear to the KPCC members that the KPAC is a stand-alone group. Possibly add the discussion to the KPCC agenda.

OLD BUSINESS

Minutes

(July 21, 2021)

Motion made (Jensen/Augusztiny) to approve the meeting minutes from July 21, 2021. ***Motion passed.***

-motion to adjourn (Runyan/Jensen) at 8:26 p.m.