CALL TO ORDER
The meeting was called to order at 9:30 am. Roll was called, and a quorum was present. Copies of all staff reports and related documents under review were made available.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES
Motion made (Jerkovich/Starr) to adopt the minutes from March 22, 2022 and April 5, 2022. Motion passed.

HEARING
Pierce County Code Title 18S, “Development Policies and Regulations – Shorelines” Locally Initiated Amendments
The Planning Commission will review and consider proposed amendments to Pierce County Code Title 18, “Development Regulations – General Provisions” and Pierce County Code Title 18S, “Development Policies And Regulations – Shorelines” to address: 1) pier and dock prohibition within specific portions of marine shoreline areas, 2) review requirements for non-commercial aquaculture that restores or enhances native shellfish or native anadromous species, such as salmon, 3) allowances for fencing within shoreline buffers, 4) FEMA concerns regarding walking paths between residences and the shoreline, and 5) previously approved amendments to Pierce County Code Title 18E – Development Regulations – Critical Areas, which were adopted by the County through Pierce County Ordinance 2018-68s, and by the County and Department of Ecology through Ordinances 2019-59, and 2020-49, and by the County through Ordinance 2022-123s. The proposed amendments would apply to unincorporated areas of Pierce County subject to Shoreline jurisdiction.
Contact: Dave Risvold, Shoreline Planning Supervisor, 253-798-7036.

Staff presented a Supplemental Staff Report and answered Commission questions.

DISCUSSION
• Discussion on the number of tribe members and the issues the tribes have with shoreline protection.
• Discussion on the government-to-government consultation.
• Question on if existing docks in prohibition areas would be non-conforming.
• Question on if code changes are at County discretion or coming down from Ecology
• Clarification on the attributes within the 7.3 miles.
• Question on dock structure as a T for those that are already nonconforming. Would they be able to make it joint use?
- Has the County done a physical inventory to determine if there are docks in the proposed prohibition areas?
- How is the County dealing with dock applications that would be subject to dock prohibitions currently in the process.

PUBLIC COMMENT
The following members of the public were presented and provided public comment:
- Marian Berejikian, Friends of Pierce County
- Will Leslie, Tahoma Audubon Society
- John Garner, Tahoma Audubon Society
- Eric Seibel, Tahoma Audubon Society
- Kirk Kirkland, Tahoma Audubon Society

MOTION
Motion made (Jerkovich/Hanek) to remove amendment one “Piers and Docks Prohibition” from the other four amendments to vote on it separately. Motion passed.

Motion made (Hanek/Jerkovich) recommend approval of amendments two, three, four, and five as recommended by Planning and Public Works staff and as amended by the Planning and Public Works Supplemental Staff Report. Motion passed.

Motion made (Jerkovich/Sundsmo) to deny the Dock and Pier Prohibition as presented by staff through the original and Supplemental Staff Report. Motion Failed 3:3.

Motion made (Starr/Guerrero) to move amendment one “Dock and Piers Prohibition” to County Council without a recommendation. Motion Failed 3:3.

Pierce County Code Amendments – Broadband Development Incentive Districts
The Planning Commission will review and consider proposed amendments to Pierce County Code Chapter 18A.69 to designate broadband development incentive districts and to ensure eligible broadband developers provide affordable broadband service. The proposed amendments would apply within unincorporated Pierce County, including the Key Peninsula Community Plan Area, Graham Community Plan Area, Alderton-McMillin Community Plan Area, and Upper Nisqually Community Plan Area.
Contact: Erik Jaszewski, Associate Planner, 253-798-3752.

Staff presented a PowerPoint and Staff Report and answered Commission questions.

DISCUSSION
- What step in the process are these amendments?
- Discussion on how the infrastructure paid for.
- Discussion on purpose of incentives.
- How does this fit with GMA as far as extended services outside of Urban Growth Areas?
- Discussion on services outside of UGA.

PUBLIC COMMENT
None
MOTION
Motion made (Jerkovich/Sundsmo) to recommend approval as presented. Motion passed.

OTHER BUSINESS
None

The meeting adjourned at 11:45 am.