

**Proposition No. 1
Submitted by
Yelm Community Schools District No. 2
SCHOOL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION LEVIES**

Official Ballot Title:

Upon the expiration of the current maintenance and operation levy, shall the following taxes for purposes of operation and maintenance be levied in excess of all regular property tax levies, upon all taxable property within Yelm Community Schools, District No. 2 for the district's general fund:

		Approximate Levy Rate per \$1,000	
Levy Year	Collection Year Of	Assessed Value	Levy Amount
1998	1999	\$2.81	\$3,150,000
1999	2000	\$2.65	\$3,339,000

as specified in resolution No. 2-12-98-15?

Explanatory Statement

Yelm Community Schools No. 2 provides educational services to children for the community in grades K-12. Passage of this proposition would allow for the replacement of the existing Maintenance and Operations Levy which is expiring. The proposed two-year levy would be paid into the District's General Fund during school years 1998-99, 1999-00, 2000-01, 2001-02. The taxes collected under this proposition would mean \$2.81 per \$1,000.00 assessed value in 1999 and \$2.65 per \$1,000.00 assessed value in 2000.

The funds collected by this levy will be applied to the general operating funds of the District for purposes of maintenance and operation of the District's educational services, supplementing state funds for such purposes. Class size, supplies and materials, transportation services, extracurricular programs and staff inservice training are examples of cost supported by levy dollars.

Statement For:

Statement prepared by: Glenn Blando, Joe Williams & Tom Gorman

Yelm community Schools deserve your support with a **yes** vote on April 28th. Our district has earned a well-deserved reputation for spending taxpayers' money prudently. Yelm's outstanding school board is committed to providing the best possible education at the best price possible. Citizens, parents and the business community are involved in making decisions about schooling, and our programs emphasize the basics-reading, writing and arithmetic-while laying a solid foundation for post-graduation training and employment. Yelm has some of the safest schools in the Pierce County area, and our test scores are among the top third of the districts in the county.

However, we need community levy support to continue the excellent programs that are now offered. **The levy amount is not a new tax-it is a continuation of the tax amount that voters approved in 1996.** And since our community is growing and property values are increasing, **the levy rate per \$1,000 of assessed valuation will be the same or less than for the current year.**

Remember, quality schools equal a quality community. Vote **yes April on the Yelm Community Schools replacement levy.**

Statement Against:

No statement was submitted against this issue.

This space is available each election for citizens and/or committees opposing measures to publish a "Statement Against." For information, contact the Pierce County Auditor's Office at (253) 798-7430.

Proposition No. 1
Submitted by
Puyallup School District No. 3
REPLACEMENT CAPITAL LEVY FOR TECHNOLOGY

Official Ballot Title:

To acquire, construct and install certain capital technology improvements to the District's education facilities, shall Puyallup School District No. 3 be authorized to impose an excess property tax levy of approximately \$0.212 per \$1,000 of assessed value upon all taxable property within the District in the years 1998 through 2003 for collection in years 1999 through 2004 to provide an aggregate amount of \$7,200,000, as described in Resolution No. 95-97-98?

Explanatory Statement:

Puyallup School District No. 3 (the "District") seeks voter approval of a replacement capital levy for technology improvements in the aggregate amount of \$7,200,000, which will be collected over six years, commencing in 1999. The replacement capital levy will pay the cost of acquiring, constructing and installing certain capital technology improvements to the District's existing education facilities, including, but not limited to, computer learning systems, communications and information systems, and safety enhancements and a contingency for future technology expansion. These capital technology improvements will be part of an integrated technology system for instruction and research.

The replacement capital levy for technology improvements is a six-year levy that will impose an excess property tax levy of approximately \$0.212 per \$1,000 of assessed value upon all taxable property with the District in the years 1998 through 2003 for collection in the years 1999 through 2004 to provide an aggregate amount of \$7,200,000. The District will use replacement capital levy proceeds during the 1998-1999 through 2003-2004 school years. The replacement capital technology levy will replace the District's current capital technology levy that expires in the year 1998.

STATEMENT FOR:

Statement prepared by: Gerry Moody & Nancy K. Dees

In 1992, voters approved the District's first six-year Technology Levy which will expire at the end of 1998. In the 1992 election, voters approved a Technology Levy tax rate of **39 cents per \$1,000 assessed valuation to be collected beginning in 1993. Since then, the tax rate has steadily declined to the current proposed tax rate of 21 cents per \$1,000** 21 cents per \$1,000 assessed valuation.

*The proposed Technology Levy is not a new tax. Voters are strongly encouraged to vote "Yes" on the Technology Levy in an effort to provide students with a quality education which will **prepare students for future jobs and college**. The passage of the Technology Levy will provide: **Safe Schools** – direct 911 emergency calling access for all classrooms; **improves emergency response time for medical aid and law enforcement to our schools**. **Teaching the Basics with Technology** – Provides additional student computers for career training and increases computer availability for all students, **especially those who do not have them at home**. **Computer Learning Systems for students** – provides additional classroom computers and insures responsible student access to the Internet; improves parent-teacher communications by making telephones available to teachers at all schools to call parents; increases parent-teacher involvement in school activities and reporting student performance.*

Voters are strongly encouraged to vote "Yes" on the Technology Levy. Remember, as the Puyallup community grows, taxes for schools will be spread among more people and individual tax responsibility for school levies will likely decline. Please vote "Yes" for Technology.

Statement Against:

No statement was submitted against this issue.

This space is available each election for citizens and/or committees opposing measures to publish a "Statement Against." For information, contact the Pierce County Auditor's Office at (253) 798-7430.

Send questions or comments concerning this site to the [webmaster](#) .

**Proposition No. 1
Submitted by
University Place School District No. 83**

Official Ballot Title:

Shall the University Place School District No. 83 levy the following excess taxes for the purposes of replacing an expiring levy, for essential Educational General Fund maintenance and operation expenses on all taxable property within the District:

Collection Year	Approximate Levy Rate per \$1,000 Assessed Value	Levy Amount
1999	\$4.44	\$5,943,000
2000	\$4.50	\$6,180,720
2001	\$4.57	\$6,427,929
2002	\$4.64	\$6,685,067

All as specified in District Resolution No. 622-2-25-98?

Explanatory Statement:

This renewal M&O levy would replace the current levy that expires in December. In the November 1997 general election, voters authorized school districts to seek up to four-year Maintenance and Operation levies instead of two-year levies; this proposal would cover four years.

Approval would raise the combined school bond and levy tax rate by **one cent per thousand dollars** of assessed valuation for 1999 for a total rate of \$7.79 per \$1,000 for UPSD schools that year. After 1999, the combined rate for M&O and bond/capital debt would **decline by one cent in 2000, decrease another 54 cents in 2001, and decline an additional six cents in 2002**. All rates continue to be under the 1995 rate.

The levy revenues account for 19% of the district's operating costs and support many educational programs and services: staffing levels for reasonably small classes; ongoing training and curriculum work to sustain quality instruction and curriculum; alternative education services; pupil transportation on hazardous routes not funded by the state; textbooks and other instructional materials; co-curricular student activities and athletics; ongoing facility and grounds maintenance; facility/campus security; and swimming pool operations for school and community use.

Statement For:

VoteYes - University Place Schools - 4 Year Levy

Federal and state funds for education constitute approximately 81% of the cost of operating the current basic education programs for University Place schools. The other 19% is funded by local tax dollars. The majority of this funding is for textbooks and other instructional materials, staff training, ground supervision and security.

Some have questioned the need for a new levy. This is not a new levy but a **renewal** of an expiring levy.

Some have questioned this levy as being a tax increase. For one year the overall rate for schools is increased over the existing levy by \$.01 per \$1,000 dollars. That is \$1.00 for a \$100,000 house in 1999. Rates then **decline** for the next three years.

The hallmark of University Place schools for 100 years as been quality education. Curtis High School graduates are successful scientists, chefs, computer experts, cartoonists, engineers, local business owners, teachers, military leaders, mechanics, homemakers, accountants, and astronauts in training. University Place schools have made student dreams possible.

The point is kids ! Please vote Yes on April 28

Statement prepared by: Douglas D. Quail & C.L.(Cookie) Whitling, The University Place Citizen's Committee for School Levies

Statement Against:

The first attempt to pass a 25 Million Dollar School Levy payable over the next 4 years has failed. This requested levy would have given the school district a 33 Million Dollar Budget each year to educate less than 5,000 students. More University Place voters became aware of the high impact on their property tax and turned out to vote no.

The Impact: Not mentioned by the district is the 32 Million Dollar Bond Levy passed in 1992 for which we are paying \$2.7902 per thousand assessed valuation. In addition there is another levy of .6327 per thousand with 3 years to go. These added to their proposed 4 year levies impose a tax burden of \$ 7.86 in 1999, \$7.92 in 2000, \$7.36 in 2001 and \$7.43 in 2002. Multiply these by the number of \$1,000 increments of your assessed valuation and you have your extra tax burden. That's not all, add 20 percent of your property tax that goes to the state for schools. This is exorbitant and unfair.

We must put some sanity in this districts spending. They must be forced to operate within the State and Federal allocations for education. Many other school districts do, its time for University Place to do likewise. However, let no one accuse the no voters as being against schools. We do generously support our school through the many other tax revenues we contribute to; but enough is enough. Please vote no. Reject it again.

Statement prepared by: Vincent Policani & Richard G. Adams

Send questions or comments concerning this site to the [webmaster](#) .

Proposition No. 1
Submitted by
Sumner School District No. 320
TECHNOLOGY EXCESS TAX LEVY

Official Ballot Title:

To provide technology, communications and networking capacity, shall Sumner School District No. 320 levy the following excess taxes for the Capital Projects Fund on all taxable property within the District:

Year of Collection	Levy Amount	Estimated Levy Rate Per \$1,000 Assessed Value
1999	\$1,000,000	.5578
2000	\$1,000,000	.5165
2001	\$1,000,000	.4761
2002	\$1,000,000	.4289

as provided in Resolution No. 13/97-98?

Explanatory Statement:

The Sumner School District's proposed computer technology levy would follow a similar voter-approved levy that expired in 1997.

Money from the levy will help repair and maintain existing school computer systems, including networks that allow access to the Internet and e-mail. Levy money will purchase additional school computers and technology equipment and pay for staff training in computers.

The four-year levy would raise \$1 million each year. The estimated levy rate per \$1,000 of assessed property value would be no more than 56 cents in 1999, dropping to an estimated 52 cents in 2000, 48 cents in 2001 and 43 cents in 2002.

Because the district's tax base is increasing due to development and other factors, total school district property tax rates will not increase above the current level if the levy is approved.

Statement For:

When Maple Lawn Elementary sixth-grader Rachael Norris needed information for a report about tidal waves, she turned to the Internet. Although her school library is well-stocked, the Internet was the only place Rachael could find the latest scientific research for her report.

Computer technology and the Internet are crucial to the business world, and they are an important part of the educational world, too. That's why we urge you to support the **Sumner School District's Computer Technology Levy**.

Computers help children:

learn basic skills. From learning to read to producing complex spread sheets, children use computers to reinforce basic skills (reading, writing and math) and to complement classroom teaching. Even our primary grade student use computers frequently...to practice reading, to write stories, to check spelling.

prepare for the job market . Just as Boeing engineers use computers to design airplanes, Boeing janitors use computers to access work orders. Computer skills will be vital to our children's future employment.

conduct research . Computer technology helps children get the latest information about an endless number of topics. From encyclopedias on compact disks to Internet access, computers allow schools and children to get information in a more **cost-effective** manner.

Funds from the four-year levy will purchase and maintain computer equipment and pay for teacher training on computers. And if this levy passes, your property tax

rate will still decline.

We hope you'll join us – **Citizens for Education** – and support the **Sumner School District's Computer Technology Levy**.

Statement prepared by: Sherman Voiles, Debbie Norris & Shari Campbell

Statement Against:

No statement was submitted against this issue.

This space is available each election for citizens and/or committees opposing measures to publish a "Statement Against." For information, contact the Pierce County Auditor's Office at (253) 798-7430.

Send questions or comments concerning this site to the [webmaster](#) .

Proposition No. 1
Submitted by
Dieringer School District No. 343
REPLACEMENT SCHOOL LEVY

Official Ballot Title:

Shall the following taxes for school maintenance and operations and for tuition costs of high school students be levied for Dieringer School District's General Fund upon all taxable property within the District:

- A. 1998 Levy/1999 Collection: Approximately \$3.16 per thousand dollars assessed valuation to provide \$1,900,000; and
- B. 1999 Levy/2000 Collection: Approximately \$2.86 per thousand dollars assessed valuation to provide \$2,090,000.

Explanatory Statement:

Passage of ballot measure No. 1 will allow Dieringer School District 343 to collect property taxes of \$1,900,000 in 1999 and \$2,090,000 in 2000 for the purpose of paying part of the *operating* costs of the school district. These costs include salaries of the school district teachers and other employees, student transportation costs, extracurricular activities, instructional materials, utilities, repair and maintenance of buildings and other facilities, insurance, excess costs for high school students and other operating costs.

The taxes will be levied at a rate of approximately \$3.16 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation for the taxes to be collected in 1999 and approximately \$2.86 per \$1,000 of assessed valuation for the taxes to be collected in 2000, all as described in Resolution No. 12-97-98 of the District's Board of Directors.

Statement For:

Communities determine the quality of their schools by funding levy and bond initiatives. The Dieringer community has a long tradition of supporting schools, and our schools have a long tradition of excellence. Washington State does not fully fund public education. Renewal of our M&O levy is needed to maintain current programs, and provide the education our children deserve and our community expects.

Levy Money Pays for Essentials.

Levy money is not a luxury. The levy provides critical and otherwise unfunded support for transportation costs, special educational programs, instructional materials, extracurricular activities, and facilities and operations support. Since Dieringer does not have a high school, we must also pay tuition to the school districts our high school students attend. Levy money fully funds that tuition. In total, the M&O levy provides 24% of the school district's operating budget.

Not an Additional Tax!

Our levies need voter approval every two years. **This is not an additional tax**, but a continuation of the levy approved by voters in 1996. It **replaces** the levy now being collected, which expires this December. Levy money stays in the Dieringer community. Our M&O levy rate is one of the lowest in Pierce County.

Quality Schools Reflect on the Community.

The quality of our schools is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in this community. Quality schools affect the value of our homes and quality of our lives. Our future depends on the education we provide our youth. Your continued support is *absolutely essential* to maintain Dieringer's tradition of excellence.

Support your schools, community and kids. Vote YES!

Statement prepared by: Sue Bradner, Janice Cartwright & Mike Antonoff

Statement Against:

No statement was submitted against this issue.

*This space is available each election
Pierce County Auditor's Office at (253) 798-7430.*

Send questions or comments concerning this site to the [webmaster](#) .

Proposition No. 1
Submitted by
Peninsula School District No. 401
SCHOOL MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION LEVIES

Official Ballot Title:

Shall the following excess taxes for the purposes of operation and maintenance, including, but not limited to, the purchase of school buses, be levied in excess of all regular property tax levies, upon all taxable property within Peninsula School District No. 401:

Collection Year	Estimated Rate per \$1,000 Of Assessed Value	Amount
1999	\$2.59	\$10,598,000
2000	\$2.59	\$11,026,000

as specified in Resolution No. 98-04?

Explanatory Statement:

The Peninsula School District is requesting renewal of local support for the Maintenance and Operation Levy in the amount of \$10,598,000 for collection in 1999 and \$11,026,000 for collection in 2000. The estimated cost per \$1,000 assessed value is \$2.59 for 1999 and \$2.59 for 2000, and will not exceed the \$2.59 rate. The rate may be lower than the projected estimates.

Approval of the requested levy will maintain the teaching and classified positions, and instructional programs reinstated over the past year. The District has undertaken a substantial commitment to educational improvement. This program will continue and be expanded during 1999 and 2000. Additionally, facility repairs will be continued, maintenance improved and school buses replaced.

These local levy funds support educational and maintenance programs not fully funded by the State. This Maintenance and Operations levy provides approximately 16% of the District's operating budget. The remainder of District funding is from state (77%), federal (4%), and other (3%) sources.

Statement For:

Statement prepared by: Ron Jones & John Jewell

Not A New Tax

Your vote simply renews the levy which expires in 1998. Your tax rate will remain substantially the same.

Teaching And Student Support

This levy funds about 20% of the basic education for children. These funds are desperately needed until the State decides to adequately fund schools.

70% of the money collected will go directly to teaching and student support. This ensures manageable class size and adequate clerical support. It continues positive extended-day activities which enhance skills, reduce crime and provide children with equity in after school programs. Our students now rank among the top 10% of all students in Washington. We want to continue this exceptional record by passing this levy.

Building Support, Maintenance And Transportation

30% will go directly towards a long range maintenance program keeping our valuable school properties physically sound. Our district intends to purchase 8 buses in 1999 and 8 buses in 2000. Our fleet of 69 buses is the fourth oldest in the state. We will replace 16 of the oldest buses. Newer buses are safer, more efficient, and less costly to operate, which is significant with over a million miles traveled each year by our fleet.

Sound Fiscal Policies

The School Board, Superintendent, and Community Advisory Committee are carefully scrutinizing every tax dollar entrusted to them. As a result, the Peninsula School District continually ranks among the lowest cost per \$1000 valuation to tax payers in the county.

This levy benefits kids: please vote yes! Remember It's more than a vote...it's our future!

Statement prepared by: Ron Jones & John Jewell

Statement Against:

We are again being asked to raise our property taxes over \$1100 per student, even though we said no in February and have heard no new reasons to change our decision.

Why you should feel good about voting no:

Property Tax Cut

Property tax statements recently arrived in the mail. 54% of our property tax goes to schools. We think that is too much. This is a new tax because the current levy expires. A no vote is voting yourself an over 20% property tax cut.

Do Levy Supporters Truly Support the Levy?

Did levy supporters pay the district the amount they would have paid in property tax when the levies failed? People give money to causes they believe in. Do the supporters truly believe in this levy?

A No Vote Equals Improved Efficiency

In the competitive, free enterprise system, business' are constantly seeking ways to cut costs, become more efficient and please the customer. They improve because of competition. Government entities, (the school district being one), are monopolies. If competition leads to less cost and better service, what does a lack of competition lead to? It seems the only way to compel government entities to be more efficient is to cut back their funding. We have the tremendous privilege of helping the school district become more efficient by voting no.

Cost Per Student

This year the district will spend over \$7200 per student. Any private school charging that much per student with the same results would be out of business in no time.

Your no vote is required to defeat this property tax increase, not voting won't help.

Statement prepared by: Jim Hagman, Citizens for Responsible School Spending

Send questions or comments concerning this site to the [webmaster](#) .

Proposition No. 1
Submitted by
Bethel School District No. 403
SCHOOL PROGRAM MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION LEVY

Official Ballot Title:

To replace an expiring school levy and better meet the educational needs of students, shall Bethel School District 403 levy the following special replacement taxes for essential school programs, and maintenance and operations expenses on all taxable property within the district:

Collection Year	Approximate Levy Rate per \$1,000 Assessed Value	Levy Amount
1999	\$4.13	\$14,300,000
2000	\$4.13	\$15,000,000

all as specified in District Resolution 10 (97-98)?

Explanatory Statement:

This program, maintenance and operations levy **replaces** the expiring levy approved in 1996. It would maintain school funding at its current levels and allow for projected student enrollment increases.

This is not a new tax . It is a slight increase of the expiring levy.

Approval of the 1999 and 2000 levy request is **necessary to maintain** a number of programs currently in place in the Bethel School District.

The Replacement Levy supports: Safety and security programs in all schools, new technology, textbooks and instructional materials, preventative maintenance of school facilities, programs to help all students meet higher academic standards, music, drama and highly capable student programs, athletics and other extracurricular programs, special education services, student transportation services, support for Bethel Recreation and adult enrichment classes for the Bethel community.

Senior citizens and disabled persons may be exempt from all or part of levy taxes. Contact the assessors office at 798-7105 for information.

Statement For:

Your "yes" vote on April 28 is crucial to the continuation of many programs that are vital to Bethel students. Without levy funding, many of the programs we as parents have worked so hard to encourage and develop will be reduced or eliminated.

For example, the local levy provides funding for campus security and crossing guards, busing for students who live within one mile of schools where there are no sidewalks, new textbook adoptions, music programs such as Bethel's new orchestra and athletic activities serving thousands of students. Funding for classroom and school technology labs and dollars for preventive maintenance for the older school buildings are necessary to ensure all students attend well-maintained, quality schools.

Local levy dollars provide essentials, not frills. **This levy is not a new tax** . It replaces the expiring two-year levy approved by the voters in 1996. It is only a slight increase to that levy. Without this funding, Bethel students would be at a tremendous disadvantage.

Schools have worked hard to steadily improve test scores and create quality programs where parents, business people, senior citizens and other community members are welcomed.

Bethel Schools need your support to prepare our children to live in an increasingly complex and competitive world and to become productive, contributing citizens.

Our future depends on the quality of education we provide our youth.

As parents, volunteers and community members in the Bethel School District, we urge you to vote "yes" to approve this replacement levy.

Statement prepared by: Chris Brayton, Harriett Balmer and Donna Kolowinski

Statement Against:

The Bethel School District Board of Directors has adopted the following for the 1997-1998 school year budget: General fund, \$87,144,540.00; Capital project, \$8,524,558.00; Transportation vehicle fund, \$450,000.00; Debt service/bond fund, \$5,853,710.00; Associated student body, \$2,333,240.00; for a total of \$104,306,048.00. The approximate student body is 15,009, for an average annual per student cost of \$6,949.56.

In District No. 403 voters have approved since 1988, bonds an original issue, well over \$52,350,000.00 dollars with an estimated \$39,690,000.00 dollars outstanding as of September, 1997. This money includes remaining funds from the district's 1990 bond issued for an elementary school that has not been built yet. The schools annual budget includes some \$50 million from Washington State, \$10 million from the Federal Government and unknown amounts the FCC. Though the grown of new housing developments may increase some student enrollment every new home built in our district brings new property tax revenues that the school district did not have before. This should lower our taxes obligation instead of increasing it.

For better education we need our leadership to be more frugal with their use of our money by better planning and looking for alternative ways to use existing facilities. As long as our leadership assume parents have endless deep pockets more high priced wasteful monopoly spending will always be the cure for all school problems.

Vote no on Proposition 1 will mandate our district leadership to maintain current tax values using available growing revenues instead of raising our taxes.

Statement prepared by: Dan Stetler

Send questions or comments concerning this site to the [webmaster](#) .

Proposition No. 1
Submitted by White River School District No. 416
WHITE RIVER SCHOOL DISTRICT MAINTENANCE
AND OPERATIONS LEVY

Official Ballot Title:

To meet the educational needs of students in White River School District No. 416, shall the District levy the following taxes in addition to regular tax levies and in place of an expiring levy, upon all taxable property within the District, for support of the District's General Fund educational program and operation expenses:

Collection Year	Approximate Levy Rate per \$1,000 Assessed Value	Levy Amount
1999	\$4.06	\$3,700,000
2000	\$4.08	\$3,900,000

all as specified in District Resolution No.98-01?

Explanatory Statement:

White River School District is requesting to renew local support for the maintenance and operations replacement levy in the amount of \$3.7 million for the 1999 collection and \$3.9 million for the 2000 collection. The rate per thousand will be \$4.06 in 1999 and \$4.08 in 2000.

The maintenance and operations levy provides approximately 15% of the district's operating budget. These local dollars support lower class sizes and help fund classroom aides, nurses, counselors, textbooks, classroom supplies, the Community Activities Program, computer software, utilities, maintenance, and substitutes. In addition, the levy helps support transportation of students to and from school and provides dollars to support the school lunch program. Also, the local levy helps provide funding for coaches and transportation for co-curricular and extracurricular activities including outdoor education, secondary sports programs, music, and drama.

Statement For:

With strong community support, much has been accomplished within the White River School District to build an educational environment that affords all students an equal opportunity to successfully prepare for the challenges of the future.

However, as in the past, monies received from the State account for only 85% of the total funds necessary to adequately provide for the educational needs of our children. We, the voters of the White River School District, must make up the difference. The only means available to us is to replace the expiring levy with a new one.

Continuation of the present funding level will help replace worn and outdated teaching tools, maintain smaller class sizes, and provide needed support staff. Adequate funds are also needed for the safe transportation of our children, maintenance of existing facilities, and support for other valuable student activities such as athletics, cultural arts, music, and outdoor education.

Please note the following as you ponder your vote: (1) This levy is a replacement of an expiring tax and will not increase your existing tax rate. (2) 100% of your school levy money is returned to the White River School District. (3) Schools are an important part of our community, and everyone is encouraged to become involved in school funding issues.

Your **yes** vote on April 28th is a vote for our children, our community, and our future.

Statement prepared by : Ted Olson and Key Osborne, White River School District Maintenance and Operations Levy Committee

Statement Against:

Tradition Matters

Traditionally, the levy amount is the same for both years. The request for a higher amount in the second year breaks that tradition. The District will ask for as much money as they think they can get, as often as they can get it. They can always figure out a way to spend it. The request should be \$3,700,000 for each year. Vote No because tradition matters.

Cost Matters

The levy is being increased about 10% in the first year and an additional 5% in the second year. That is a 15% increase in 2 years, which is much greater than inflation. A 15% increase is excessive. A 10% increase should sufficiently cover inflation. Vote No because cost matters.

Trust Matters

The District has not built the transportation center. Three years ago, they were urged to build the transportation center. They chose to sit on the money, as they have for seven years, and break trust with the voters. Although this was bond money and not levy, it is still a matter of trust. If you give them more money, it will only encourage this irresponsible behavior. Vote No because trust matters.

What's Best For Kids

Is more money what's best for kids, all the time, every time? These taxes have a real impact on families and the community. Vote No because that is what's best for kids.

Please join me, a former White River school board member, in voting no to higher tax rates.

Statement prepared by : James E. Findley & Richard Bedwell

Send questions or comments concerning this site to the [webmaster](#) .