### Instructions

Use a pencil or pen to connect the arrow. No red ink.

Vote for one choice in each contest.

If you make a mistake, call us at 253-798-VOTE (8683) for a replacement ballot. If unable to call, draw a line through the entire incorrect choice. You may make another selection.

To vote for a write-in candidate, write the name and connect the arrow.

### Who Donates to Campaigns?

For a list of the people and organizations that donated to state and local candidates and ballot measure campaigns, visit www.pdc.wa.gov.

---

### State of Washington

#### Initiative to the People

**Initiative Measure No. 1351**

Initiative Measure No. 1351 concerns K-12 education. This measure would direct the legislature to allocate funds to reduce class sizes and increase staffing support for students in all K-12 grades, with additional class-size reductions and staffing increases in high-poverty schools. Should this measure be enacted into law?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

#### Advisory Vote No. 8

**Senate Bill 6506**

The legislature eliminated, without a vote of the people, agricultural excise tax preferences for various aspects of the marijuana industry, costing an estimated $24,903,000 in the first ten years, for government spending. This tax increase should be:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Repealed</th>
<th>Maintained</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Initiative Measure No. 591

Initiative Measure No. 591 concerns firearms. This measure would prohibit government agencies from confiscating guns or other firearms from citizens without due process, or from requiring background checks on firearm recipients unless a uniform national standard is required. Should this measure be enacted into law?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

---

### Federal Partisan Offices

**U.S. Rep. - 6th Congressional**

Derek Kilmer  
(Preferences Democratic Party)

Marty McClendon  
(Preferences Republican Party)

---

**U.S. Rep. - 8th Congressional**

Dave Reichert  
(Preferences Republican Party)

Jason Ritchie  
(Preferences Democratic Party)

**U.S. Rep. - 9th Congressional**

Adam Smith  
(Preferences Democratic Party)

Doug Basler  
(Preferences Republican Party)

**U.S. Rep. - 10th Congressional**

Denny Heck  
(Preferences Democratic Party)

Joyce McDonald  
(Preferences Republican Party)

---

**2nd Leg. District Partisan Offices**

State Representative, Pos. No. 1  
Two Yr Short/Full Term  
Graham Hunt  
(Preferences Republican Party)

Greg Hartman  
(Preferences Democratic Party)

---

**State Representative, Pos. No. 2**

J.T. Wilcox  
(Preferences Republican Party)

Steven Nielson  
(Preferences Libertarian Party)

---

**25th Leg. District Partisan Offices**

State Representative, Pos. No. 1  
Dawn Morrell  
(Preferences Democratic Party)

Melanie Stambaugh  
(Preferences Republican Party)

---

**State Representative, Pos. No. 2**

Hans Zeiger  
(Preferences Republican Party)

Eric Renz  
(Preferences Democratic Party)
### 26th Leg. District
#### Partisan Offices

**State Senator**
- Jan Angel (Prefers Republican Party)
- Judy Arbogast (Prefers Democratic Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 1**
- Nathan Schlicher (Prefers Democratic Party)
- Jesse Young (Prefers Republican Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 2**
- Larry Seaquist (Prefers Democratic Party)
- Michelle Caldier (Prefers Republican Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 2**
- Jake Fey (Prefers Democratic Party)
- Rodger Deskins (Prefers Republican Party)

**State Senator**
- Steve Conway (Prefers Democratic Party)
- Terry Harder (Prefers Republican Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 1**
- David Sawyer (Prefers Democratic Party)
- Jason Bergstrom (Prefers Republican Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 2**
- Steve Kirby (Prefers Democratic Party)

**State Senator**
- Mark Miloscia (Prefers Republican Party)
- Shari Song (Prefers Democratic Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 1**
- Linda Kochmar (Prefers Republican Party)
- Greg Baruso (Prefers Democratic Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 2**
- Roger Freeman (Prefers Democratic Party)
- Jack Dovey (Prefers Republican Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 1**
- Pam Roach (Prefers Republican Party)
- Cathy Dahlquist (Prefers Republican Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 1**
- Drew Stokesbary (Prefers Republican Party)
- Mike Sando (Prefers Democratic Party)

**State Representative, Pos. No. 2**
- Christopher Hurst (Prefers Independent Dem. Party)
- Phil Fortunato (Prefers Ind. Republican Party)

**Pierce County**
- Nonpartisan Office
- Auditor
- Julie Anderson

**Pierce County**
- Partisan Offices
- Prosecuting Attorney
- Mark Lindquist (Prefers Democratic Party)

**County Council District No. 1**
- Dan Roach (Prefers Republican Party)

**County Council District No. 5**
- Rick Talbert (Prefers Democratic Party)

**County Council District No. 7**
- Derek M. Young (Prefers Democratic Party)
- Stan Flemming (Prefers Republican Party)
Emergency Medical Services Property Tax Levy

Will the Town of Carbonado be authorized to impose regular tax levies of fifty cents ($0.50) or less per thousand dollars ($1,000.00) of assessed valuation for each of six consecutive years, with collection beginning in 2015, to provide funding for emergency medical services, and replacing the existing permanent EMS levy?

Yes  No

Town of Eatonville
Special Election - Proposition No. 1

The Eatonville Town Council passed Ordinance 2014-8 proposing an excess property tax levy to occur in 2015. If approved, Proposition No. 1 will provide additional tax revenue to the Town in the amount of $342,876.00. The increase is estimated to be an additional $2.00 per $1,000 in assessed value, for a total estimated rate of $5.60 per $1,000 in assessed value. The funds raised by this levy would be used to help fund Public Safety Operations, consistent with the budget adopted by the Town Council. Should this measure be:

Approved  Rejected

City of Edgewood
Special Election - Proposition No. 1

Abandonment and Reorganization of Plan of Government

The Edgewood City Council adopted Resolution No. 14-0318 concerning the City's plan of government. If approved, this proposition would require the City to abandon its current council-manager plan of government and to reorganize under the mayor-council plan of government set forth at Chapter 35A.12 RCW. Should this proposition be:

Approved  Rejected
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 1</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Conform City Election Provisions To State Law</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38949 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend sections 2.4, 2.7, 2.19, 2.20, 3.7, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.5, 5.6, and 6.11(b) to bring election-related provisions in conformance with state law and City practice, all as provided in Resolution No. 38949. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 2</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Remove and Replace Obsolete Language</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38949 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend various sections of the City Charter by replacing gender specific language with gender neutral language and deleting or replacing obsolete terms and provisions, all as provided in Resolution No. 38949. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 3</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Update Discrimination Provision</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38950 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 6.7 to add color, ancestry, gender-identity, sexual orientation, familial status, honorably discharged veteran, and military status to the list of classes protected from discrimination in City employment, all as provided in Resolution No. 38950. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 4</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Emergency Ordinance Effective Date</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38951 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 2.13 to allow emergency ordinances to take effect immediately upon passage rather than after publication all as provided in Resolution No. 38951. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 5</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Council Confirmation of Department Directors</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38952 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 3.4 to require Council confirmation for appointment of department heads, all as provided in Resolution No. 38952. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 6</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Council Confirmation of Utility Director</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38953 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 4.18 to require City Council confirmation of the appointment of the Director of Utilities by the Public Utility Board, with reconfirmation by the City Council every two years following annual performance reviews by the Public Utility Board, all as provided in Resolution No. 38953. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 7</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Landmarks Preservation Commission</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38954 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would add a new section to the Charter to require a Landmarks Preservation Commission, all as provided in Resolution No. 38954. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 8</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mayor and Council Term Limits</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38955 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 2.35 dealing with term limits by allowing a person to serve ten consecutive years as a Council Member and two full consecutive terms as Mayor, all as provided in Resolution No. 38955. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 9</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citizen Commission on Elected Salaries</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38956 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 6.3 to add a Citizen Commission on Elected Salaries to bring election-related provisions in conformance with state law and City practice, all as provided in Resolution No. 38956. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 10</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cemeteries, Mausoleums, or Crematories</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38957 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 6.6 to allow City employees to contract for utility services and participate in conservations, environmental and other programs available to the public generally and as otherwise allowed by state law, all as provided in Resolution No. 38957. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 11</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>City Employee Contracts</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38958 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 6.7 to add color, ancestry, gender-identity, sexual orientation, familial status, honorably discharged veteran, and military status to the list of classes protected from discrimination in City employment, all as provided in Resolution No. 38958. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposed Charter Amendment No. 12</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eligibility for City Employment</strong>&lt;br&gt;The Tacoma City Council adopted Resolution No. 38959 to amend the City Charter. This proposition would amend Charter Section 2.13 to allow emergency ordinances to take effect immediately upon passage rather than after publication all as provided in Resolution No. 38959. Should this proposition be approved?</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
City of University Place
Special Election - Proposition No. 1

Additional 3.5% Tax on Utility Company Earnings for Police Services
The City of University Place adopted Resolution No. 749, asking voters to consider approving additional revenue to be dedicated for police services in the City. If approved by voters, Proposition No. 1 would authorize the City to levy an additional 3.5% tax on utility companies, to the extent allowed by law, for the sole purpose of maintaining and enhancing police services in University Place. Should Proposition No. 1 be enacted into law?

Yes ☑️
No ☐️

Fire Protection District No. 21
Graham Fire & Rescue
Special Election - Proposition No. 1

Property Tax Levy for Maintenance and Operations Expenses
The Board of Fire Commissioners of Pierce County Fire Protection District No. 21 (Graham Fire & Rescue) adopted Resolution No. 832 concerning a proposition to finance maintenance and operation expenses. If approved, Proposition No. 1 will authorize the District to levy, without regard to the dollar rate and percentage limitations imposed by Ch. 84.52 RCW, a property tax upon all taxable property within the District of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levy Year</th>
<th>Collection Year</th>
<th>Levy Rate Per $1,000 of Assessed Value</th>
<th>Approximate Levy Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$0.60</td>
<td>$2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$0.60</td>
<td>$2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$0.55</td>
<td>$2,750,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$0.52</td>
<td>$2,750,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to be used for maintenance and operations and to maintain the current level of fire and emergency medical services, all as provided in Resolution No. 832. Should Proposition No. 1 be approved?

Yes ☑️
No ☐️

East Pierce Fire & Rescue
Special Election - Proposition No. 1

Property Tax Levy for Maintenance and Operation Expenses
The Board of Fire Commissioners of East Pierce Fire & Rescue (formerly Pierce County Fire Protection District No. 22) adopted Resolution No. 755 concerning a proposition to finance maintenance and operation expenses. If approved, Proposition No. 1 will authorize the District to levy, without regard to the dollar rate and percentage limitations imposed by Ch. 84.52 RCW, a property tax upon all taxable property within the District of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Levy Year</th>
<th>Collection Year</th>
<th>Levy Rate Per $1,000 of Assessed Value</th>
<th>Approximate Levy Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$0.37</td>
<td>$3,275,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$0.36</td>
<td>$3,352,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>$3,431,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$0.35</td>
<td>$3,512,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

to be used for maintenance and operations and to maintain the current level of fire and emergency medical services, all as provided in Resolution No. 755. Should Proposition No. 1 be approved?

Yes ☑️
No ☐️