

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

OPEN ORDER / ON-CALL COMMISSIONING SERVICES

RFQ No. 2076

CONTACT: PIERCE COUNTY PROCUREMENT & CONTRACT SERVICES

DATE OF ISSUE QUESTION AND ANSWER: **Thursday, July 5, 2018**

Question #	VENDOR QUESTIONS	COUNTY RESPONSES
1	On page 4 of 9 Paragraph C – There is a reference to providing evidence of consultant’s project experience that is “similar in size and complexity.” Are there similarities in the types of work orders that will be issued so that we can determine the size of the facilities, systems, or complexity that this paragraph is referring to?	The County Facilities Management Department has a mixed inventory of buildings and facilities ranging from correctional, law enforcement, judicial, general service, recreational sports complex and commercial/general office space. The proposing consultants should provide project examples that are emblematic of type of uses the county owns and operates
2	On page 5 of 9 First bullet – “Provide documentation that the prime consultant team is licensed in the State of Washington to provide Material Testing and Inspection services.” This is not typically a license held by commissioning firms, can this be provided by a subconsultant rather than the prime consultant or removed from the RFQ?	There will be no requirement for the selected consultant to provide materials testing specifically and if needed can be an appropriate sub-consultant contracted to the prime consultant
3	On page 5 of 9 Third bullet – Team member’s resumes are referred to as supplemental information. Will the resumes be included in the page count?	Resumes may be included as attachments and are in addition to the page limit. Please note: Resumes included as an attachment should only supplement and not replace information required to be submitted in the Statement of Qualifications per the RFQ.
4	Under “Experience, Qualifications & Past Performance, Proposal Elements (page 5)” the RFQ states “Provide documentation that the prime consultant team is licensed in the State of Washington to provide Material Testing and Inspection services.” How does material testing and inspection services relate to the scope of work and systems to be commissioned on pages 3 and 4? If it does relate, which specific license does the county require, as there are several? If the county seeks specialized ones, can those services be provided by a sub consultant?	There will be no requirement for the selected consultant to provide materials testing specifically and if needed can be an appropriate sub-consultant contracted to the prime consultant

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

OPEN ORDER / ON-CALL COMMISSIONING SERVICES

RFQ No. 2076

CONTACT: PIERCE COUNTY PROCUREMENT & CONTRACT SERVICES

DATE OF ISSUE QUESTION AND ANSWER: **Thursday, July 5, 2018**

Question #	VENDOR QUESTIONS	COUNTY RESPONSES
5	<p>Regarding the 1st bulleted item on RFQ Page 5, which says, "Provide documentation that the prime consultant team is licensed in the State of Washington to provide Material Testing and Inspection services." May we correctly assume that this requirement does not apply to this commissioning RFQ? Should we substitute, the Washington State Energy Code requirement for CERTIFIED COMMISSIONING PROFESSIONAL: An individual who is certified by an ANSI/ISO/IEC 17024:2012 accredited organization to lead, plan, coordinate and manage commissioning teams and implement commissioning processes, or a licensed professional engineer in Washington state?</p>	<p>See response to question #2 above.</p>
6	<p>I have a question regarding the above referenced RFQ- the first bullet on page 5 reads...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Provide documentation that the prime consultant team is licensed in the State of Washington to provide Material Testing and Inspection services. Should the yellow highlight read "Commissioning" instead of "Material Testing and Inspection?"	<p>Yes - this bullet should read "Provide documentation that the prime consultant team is licensed in the State of Washington to provide commissioning services."</p>