

R2020-43 PUBLIC REVIEW COMMITTEE MEETING

September 30, 2020 at 2:00 P.M.

Zoom/Team Meeting Code: Members will receive own code unique to them as a participant. Public meeting access is provided by either call in or web access:

Call in: (253) 215-8782 Webinar ID: 955 6211 6915

Web Access: <https://piercecountywa.zoom.us/j/95562116915>

Facilitator: Judge Frank E. Cuthbertson (ret.)

Committee Members:

District 1: Pablo Monroy, Tim Reynon

District 2: Erin Calata, Paul M. Herrera

District 3: Ken Garcy, Anthony McDaniel

District 4: Preston Anderson, Tisha Wosencroft

District 5: Shalisa Hayes, Jim Walton

District 6: Alfred Authorlee, Jim Cook

District 7: Richard Benson, Roxanne Simon

County Council Staff: Chris Carlson, Bill Vetter, Rebecca Chapman, and Audrey Persons

AGENDA

1. Call to Order
2. Roll Call
3. Administrative Reviews:
 - a. Meeting schedule
 - b. Meeting notes
4. Review of Law Enforcement
5. Review of Corrections
6. Review of Written Comments
7. Public Comment
8. Adjournment

MEETING SUMMARY
R2020-43 Public Review Committee
September 23, 2020 2:00 p.m.

*Meeting summaries are not verbatim; however, meeting recordings are available at:
<https://www.piercecountywa.gov/7006/Law-Justice-Public-Review-Committee>*

COMMITTEE MEMBERS PRESENT:

Frank Cuthbertson, Facilitator
Pablo Monroy, District 1
Tim Reynon, District 1
Paul Herrera, District 2
Erin Calata, District 2
Ken Garcy, District 3
Anthony McDaniel, District 3
Tisha Wosencroft, District 4
Preston Anderson, District 4
Jim Walton, District 5
Shalisa Hayes, District 5
Jim Cook, District 6
Richard Benson, District 7
Roxanne Simon, District 7

COUNCIL STAFF PRESENT: Chris Carlson, Bill Vetter, Rebecca Chapman, Audrey Persons, Brynn Grimley.

1. **Introduction** – Call to order at 2:03 p.m. by Cuthbertson. Committee members gave introductions. Carlson introduced Council staff.

2. **Agreements/Ground Rules for meetings** – Cuthbertson presented a document titled “Operating Agreements, R2020-43 Public Review Committee”. A copy of the document is contained in the meeting file. Action requested to change “citizen” to “resident” on page one of “Operating Agreements”. Discussion ensued.

3. **Committee Purpose and Objectives** - Cuthbertson and committee members discussed.
 - a. **Prioritizing the Objectives**

Cuthbertson advanced to item 5.

5. **Discuss Proposed Meeting Schedule** – Cuthbertson and committee members discussed. A survey will be sent out to committee members regarding the start time for future meetings. Carlson provided an overview of the proposed schedule.

Cuthbertson reverted to item 4.

4. **Review Process** – Cuthbertson and committee members discussed.
 - a. **Review Reports Between Meetings**
 - b. **Review Available Data**
 - c. **Interviews of Department or Court if needed**
 - d. **Record All Comments**
 - e. **Final Report Including All Members’ Comments and Prioritizing Recommendations**

Cuthbertson advanced to item 6. Committee concurred to start the review with law enforcement and corrections with the Sheriff's Department to be scheduled for the September 30th meeting.

6. Public Comment – Comment was given by Kristen Gledhill, Oneida Arnold, Janice Bridges and Barbara Church.

7. Adjournment – 4:28 p.m.

Audrey Persons
Administrative Clerk

CRIMINAL JUSTICE REVIEW OF POLICIES AND PRACTICES

Public Review Committee Assessment Worksheet

Department/Court Reviewed: Law Enforcement and Corrections

I. Gaps in Analysis:

- (a) Does the review identify challenges or barriers to serving low-income, minority and rural communities fairly and equitably?
- (b) Does the review identify programs or plans to address these problems?
- (c) Does the Review identify reasons for disproportionate arrests and incarceration rates for minorities and especially people living in poverty?
- (d) In addition to race and national origin, does the review address economic factors impacting equal justice?
- (e) Does the review provide adequate data? What, if any, additional data would be helpful?

II. Best Practices:

Are there programs, best practices or training that would assist in improving the agency's ability to provide effective and equal justice to all citizens of Pierce County? Are there other programs currently underway in Pierce County worthy of expansion or increased support?

III. Recommendations to the County Council:

Name: _____ Date _____